UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,990	12/09/2005	Thanhhung Le	CPG 03-08 MB	6581
48418 PARKS KNOW	7590 08/19/2008 "LTON LLC		EXAMINER	
1117 PERIMET	TER CENTER WEST	GEHMAN, BRYON P		
SUITE E402 ATLANTA, GA	A 30338		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/5	59,990	LE ET AL.				
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Bryo	n P. Gehman	3728				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum sre to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE C of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	PF THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become a	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 25 July 200	าล					
,	,	2b)⊠ This action						
3)	<i>;</i> —							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
-		nending in the ar	nlication					
	Claim(s) <u>11-14,16 and 19-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		ire withdrawn no	iii consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-14, 16 and 19-27 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 17-14, 10 and 19-21 Islate Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rejected.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement					
0)[olalin(3) are subject to restric	Stion and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accepted	or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is r	equired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/559,990

Art Unit: 3728

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 25, 2008 has been entered.

Page 2

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11-14, 16 and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, lines 2-3, the "respective" nature of the open side is indefinite, and would be clarified by inserting --each-- after "receptacle--. In line 9, the definition that the "second card is mated to said first card" is now not accurate because the two cards are not immediately adjacent one another, and it is considered contradictory to define non-adjacent portions as "mating"

In claim 16, line 2 and claim 23, line 10, it is unclear what comprises "a given" structure and it would appear --each-- in substitution would clear up the indefiniteness.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/559,990

Art Unit: 3728

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 11-14, 16 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemiec et al. (6,411,567) in view of Eckernas et al. (5,072,430). Niemiec et al. disclose a package comprising a first card (202) comprising at least one protruding blister receptacle (204) each having a respective open side, a conductive metal foil protective layer (104) mated with the first card so that the protective layer spans each open side, and a second trace card (208, 210 and 212) or a second (208) and third card (212) comprising a closed cell with circuitry (210) with a dielectric overlay (208 and/or 212), wherein the second card is joined to the first card so that the dielectric overlay is mated to the protective layer and each blister receptacle is breachably aligned with a respective open side. Niemiec et al. does not disclose the conductive protective layer mated adjacent to the first card. However, Eckernas et al. disclose a similar package with circuitry wherein the positioning of a conductive protective layer (2) is immediately adjacent a first card (1). To modify Niemiec et al. reversing the location of the layers as claimed would entail a mere change in location of the working parts of the package and yield only predictable results. "[I]f a technique has been used to improve one device, and a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would improve similar devices in the same way, using the technique is obvious unless its actual application is beyond that person's skill." KSR Int'l v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1740, 82 USPQ2d 1396 (2007). It has been held that a mere reversal of the essential

Page 4

working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167. To provide the dielectric overlay by printing on the second card appears to be conventional option within the level of ordinary skill in the art as referred to at column 5, lines 10-19, and so doing is not seen to distinguish any new or unexpected result from the disclosure of Niemiec et al..

As to claim 12, the cards (202 and 208-212) of Niemiec et al. are not themselves conductive.

As to claim 13, a blister receptacle is typically pliable.

As to claim 14, the package includes an area capable of receiving an electronic monitoring device (408) in communication with the circuitry.

As to claim 16, a portion of the circuitry of Niemiec et al. spans the closed cell.

As to claims 21 and 25, the disclosed dielectric overlay of Niemiec et al. exactly follows and covers the entire electronic circuitry as disclosed.

As to claim 22, in the proposed combination, the electronic circuitry is carried on a side of the second card, that side being distinguished as a circuitry side.

6. Claims 19-20 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 11 and 23 above, and further in view of either one of Williams-Hartman (7,188,728) and Wharton et al. (5,172,812). Williams-Hartman and Wharton et al. each disclose a medication package comprising a first card (201; 16; respectively) comprising at least one open cell (202; 17; respectively), a second card (101; 11) comprising at least one protruding receptacle (102; 13) having an open side,

Application/Control Number: 10/559,990

Art Unit: 3728

each protruding receptacle matingly nested within one open cell, a third card (104; 14); comprising a first closed cell, mated to the second card so that the first closed cell spans each open side, and a fourth card (301; 22) comprising a second closed cell mated to the third card so that the second closed cell is breachably aligned with the first closed cell, the cards being non-conductive. To modify the medication package of the base combination employing multiple cards as taught by either one of Williams-Hartman and Wharton et al. would have been obvious in order to provide a more substantial and sturdier package as suggested by either one of Williams-Hartman and Wharton et al..

Page 5

- 7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Johnstone et al. (6,047,829). The previous combination does not disclose a locking tab. However, Johnstone et al. provide the feature of a locking tab (106 or 106 and 108) provided on a layered medicinal package and disposed at a free end of the package to releasably retain the package within an outer sleeve. To further provide the package combination with a locking tab as suggested by Johnstone would have been obvious in order to serve as a locking mechanism as suggested by Johnstone et al. (see column 4, lines 34-44).
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the new grounds of rejection. With respect to claims 11 and 23, while the employed Figures on applicant's arguments on page 10 demonstrate a different arrangement between Niemiec et al. and applicant's structure, claims 11 and

Application/Control Number: 10/559,990 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

23 are seen to distinguish an obvious reversal of parts from a relationship previously recognized in the field as shown by Eckernas et al..

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are medical blister packs provided with electronic circuitry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryon P. Gehman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3728 Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

BPG